## City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale

## Section I. Property Information

## PROJECT COORDINATORS COMPLETE SECTION I. ENTIRE FORM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO MARKETING PROPERTY. PLEASE ATTACH MAR OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ALL ADJAGENT PARGES.

Submitted by: Edie Oates Phone #: 5229 Date: 2/25/2009 REVIEW TO BE COMPLETED BY: 3/10/2009
1. Address: 3347 Lyndale Ave N Property Identification Number (PIN): 09-029-24-14-0002
2. Lot Size: <u>5,676 SF</u>
3. Current Use: Vacant and boarded SF house
4. Current Zoning: R2B
5. Proposed future use (include attachments as necessary): City rehab for ownership.
6. List addresses of adjacent parcels owned by CPED/City:
<u>None</u>
7. Project Coordinator comments: This property will be rehabbed under the HOW Program.
PROJECT COORDINATOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Section II. Zoning Review
8. Lot is Buildable Non-Buildable for <b>any</b> structure. Explain: Single-family dwellings are a permitted use in the R2B zoning district. The minimum lot area for a SFD in R2B district is 5,000 square feet.
9. Will any land use applications (rezoning, variances, etc) be required to achieve the <b>proposed</b> future use noted in item 5? Yes \( \subseteq \) No \( \subseteq \) If yes, what applications?
10. Comments: <u>Building permits are required for the rehab work</u> . If the rehab includes an addition a variance may be required depending on the size and location.
Completed by: Aly Pennucci Date: 3/4/2009
ZONING STAFF: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US
Section III. Community Planning Review
11. Adopted small area plan(s) in effect for parcel – please list:
<u>None</u>
12. Future land use/designation(s) identified in <i>The Minneapolis Plan</i> and other adopted plans: <u>Urban</u> <u>Residential</u> . Not in a designated land use feature
13. Is future land use proposed in item 5 consistent with future land use plans?
Yes ⊠ No ☐ If no, why not?
14. Does the City own adjacent parcels that could be combined with this parcel to create a larger development (see item 6).
Yes 🗌 No 🔀 If yes, explain development scenario possible by combining City-owned parcels
15. Is parcel identified in adopted plans as a catalyst/essential site for future development? Yes ☐ No ⊠
If Yes, what type of development?
Comments:

## City of Minneapolis CPED - Public Land Sale

Completed by: <u>Tom Leighton</u> Date: <u>3/5/2009</u>

COMMUNITY PLANNER: EMAIL FORM TO <u>JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US</u>

Planning Director Review - by: Barbara Sporlein Date: 3/9/2009 PLANNING DIRECTOR: EMAIL FORM TO JOSEPH.BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US, NANCY.THURBER@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US, AND THE PROJECT COORDINATOR. Multi-Family Housing Staff Comments - by: Wes Butler Date: 3/10/2009 Comments: To small for MF housing. Single Family Housing Staff Comments - by: Elfric Porte, II. Date: 3/9/2009 Comments: The rehab of this property will help stabilize this troubled block. Real Estate Development Services Staff Comments - by: Darrell Washington Date: 3/9/2009 Comments: <u>REDS sees no concerns for the intended/proposed use.</u> Business Development Staff Comments - by: Kristin Guild Date: 4/14/2009 Comments: Business Development does not require this property for commercial development purposes and supports this sale for rehabilitation to repopulate and strengthen Northside neighborhoods. Economic Development Director Review - by: Cathy Polasky Date: 4/14/2009 PLEASE CHECK ONE BOX: PROCEED to market the property as proposed (Project Coordinator: Contact Community Planner at the time land sale is to occur for presentation to Planning Commission) HOLD this property for further discussion (Project Coordinator: Route a new form following staff discussion)

Housing Director Review - by: Tom Streitz Date: 4/17/2009

EMAIL COMPLETED FORM TO JOSEPH. BERNARD@CI.MINNEAPOLIS.MN.US,